**Team Peer Evaluation Form for Subhashini**

Your name: **Karthikeyan Chellamuthu (We are two-member team)**

Write the name of each of your group members in a separate column. For each person, indicate the extent to which you agree with the statement on the left, using a scale of 1-4 (1=strongly disagree; 2=disagree; 3=agree; 4=strongly agree). Total the numbers in each column. **Make sure to answer the questions on the 2nd page.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Evaluation Criteria | Group member:  **Subhashini Natarajan** |
| Attends group meetings regularly and arrives on time. | 4 |
| Contributes meaningfully to group discussions. | 4 |
| Completes group assignments on time. | 4 |
| Prepares work in a quality manner. | 4 |
| Demonstrates a cooperative and supportive attitude. | 4 |
| Contributes significantly to the success of the project. | 4 |
| TOTALS | 24 |

Feedback on team dynamics:

1. How effectively is your group work?

Our group is working highly efficiently every week. Since its only two members we are meeting twice a week on Tuesday and Fridays to discuss on the progress of term project. This is like early and after status check for a given week on the progress of the items. We both split the work in our group and ensure we do it separately on the given task for the week, and once we completed the given weekly tasks we will discuss together and conclude on the best approach to be taken for the given assignment during the meet up session.

1. Are the behaviors of any of your team members particularly valuable or detrimental to the team? Explain.

We do have created a group in Teams for any discussion and clarification. Sometimes we do check over the text if she is free I will give her a call and discuss when there is a doubt or clarification, Subha is always very active in Teams throughout the week and open for discussion or chat at any time which is helping us to complete the given task for the week on time.

1. Any questions or concerns.

No concerns. But I do have a a question I hope since its only two of us we have to complete the entire work separately and may be a situation we just need to split the documentation to single one? Or split the work and perform the task and do presentation for the portion that I have completed? Just wanted to check that we do not represent the same content as duplicates twice.

Adapted from a peer evaluation form developed at Johns Hopkins University (October, 2006)